

Gibb, PLLC at (703) 761-4100.

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

	ELECTRONIC	C CAMERA, IN	FORMATION	s listed below) or an o ed and for which a pate OBTAINING S	YSTEM	
		ORDER SYSTE				
the specification o (check one)	f which:					
	attached hereto) s filed on			•		
	as Application Ser	ial No.		•		
	and was amended	on	(if applic	able)		
TOT PATERIT OF HITTER	not a certificate fist	ity benefits under Title	2 35, United States	Code, § 119 of any fo	reign applicatio	n(s)
Prior Foreign Ap	ate naving a filling u	ate before that of the a	pplication on which	mu forgion amplication	for patent or	
michiol 3 certifica	oplication(s)	ate before that of the a	pplication on whic	iny foreign application h priority is claimed:	for patent or priority claimed	
Prior Foreign Ap	oplication(s)	Japan (Country)	pplication on whice	mu forgion amplication	for patent or priority	
Prior Foreign Ap	oplication(s)	Japan	21st (Day/	iny foreign application h priority is claimed: March, 2000	for patent or priority claimed	. no
Prior Foreign Ap No. 2000–078 (Number)	oplication(s)	Japan (Country)	21st (Day/	March, 2000 Month/Year Filed)	priority claimed X yes	no no
Prior Foreign Ap No. 2000–078 (Number) (Number) I hereby below and, insofar application in the sto disclose material	claim the benefit un ras the subject matter manner provided by	Japan (Country)	21st (Day/) (Day/) (Constitutes Code, § 120) (Constitutes Title 35, United States Code, § 120)	March, 2000 Month/Year Filed) Month/Year Filed) Month/Year Filed) of any United States apon is not disclosed in the lates Code, § 112, I ac	priority claimed X yes yes yes pplication(s) liste prior United S knowledge the	no no ted

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200, Vienna, Virginia 22182-3817. Telephone calls should be directed to McGinn &

Joint Inventor, If Any	Tsuneo SATO		
Inventor's Signature	Tsuneo Sato		Date 6th March, 2001
Residence Asaka-		apan	
Citizenship Japa	nese		
Post Office Address	11-46, Senzui 3-	chome, Asaka-shi	, Saitama, Japan
Full Name of Second Joint Inventor, If Any	Koji KOBAYASHI		
Inventor's Signature	Koji Kobayash	i .	Date 6th March, 2001
	-shi, Saitama, Ja		
CitizenshipJapai	nese		
		chome, Asaka-shi,	Saitama, Japan
Full Name of Third Joint Inventor, If Any			
Inventor's Signature			Date
Residence			
Post Office Address			
Full Name of Fourth		· · · ·	
Inventor's Signature			Date
Residence			
	-		
		esent invention includes more the	nan four inventors.)

- *Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56:
- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.